PATENT COOPERATION TREATY From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY **PCT** MICHAEL J. MALLIE BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90025 WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66) Date of Mailing 21 MAR 2003 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference REPLY DUE within TWO months 42390.P14868XPCT from the above date of mailing International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US02/07672 15 MARCH 2002 16 MARCH 2001 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): Ho1S 3/10, 3/08 and US Cl.: 372/20, 92 Applicant NEW FOCUS, INC. 1. This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: I Basis of the opinion Η Priority Ш Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability IVLack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. Also For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US	Authorized officer	
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231	ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ	
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Telephone No. (703) 308-6218	P.J.

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (July 1998)*

4. The final date by which the international preliminary

examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 16 JULY 2003

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US02/07672

I. Basis of the opinion		
1. With regard to the elements of the international applicati	ion.*	
X the international application as originally fi		
	ied	
x the description: pages 1-34		
NONE		
1.000	, filed with the letter of	_ , filed with the demand
P-6-0	, med with the letter of	
The claims:	•	
pages 35-41		, as originally filed
pagesNONE	, as amended (together with any s	tatement) under Article 19
pages NONE		_ , filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed w	with the letter of	
the drawings.		
X the drawings:		
puges		
F-0**	filed mish she lesser of	_ , filed with the demand
pages	, filed with the letter of	
X the sequence listing part of the description:		
		as originally filed
pagesNONE		filed with the demand
pagesNONE	, filed with the letter of	_ ,
2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked a the international application was filed, unless otherwis. These elements were available or furnished to this Aut. the language of a translation furnished for the language of publication of the internation the language of the translation furnished for the or 55.3).	se indicated under this item. thority in the following language the purposes of international search (until application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	which is: under Rule 23.1(b)).
With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sed drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:	quence disclosed in the international appl	ication, the written opinion was
contained in the international application in	printed form	
filed together with the international applicat		
furnished subsequently to this Authority in v		
furnished subsequently to this Authority in c	computer readable form.	
The statement that the subsequently furnished international application as filed has been furn	written sequence listing does not go be ished.	eyond the disclosure in the
The statement that the information recorded in cobeen furnished.		
4. X The amendments have resulted in the cancer	llation of:	
X the description, pages NONE		
X the claims, Nos. NONE		
X the drawings, sheets/fig NONE		
5. This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the a beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the	amendments had not been made, since the E Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	y have been considered to go
* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the rec in this opinion as "originally filed".	eiving Office in response to an invitation w	nder Article 14 are referred to

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US02/07672

statement			_
Novelty (N)	Claims	NONE	****
• • •	Claims	1-54	_ YES _ NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claime	VOVE	-
michaele out (20)	Claims Claims	NONE 1-54	YES
•			NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-54	3757
	Claims	NONE	YES NO
econfiques of thermo and electrical adjustment ne art.	t would be on	ent of the etalons to obtain the a variable laser output, whe vious design preference since such techniques are well-kno	:_
Plaims 1-54 meet the criteria for industrial apseful in the electrical industry. NY RESPONSE MAY BE FAXED TO SEFICE OF THE SPECIAL PROGRAMS EXECTION (SECTION OF THE SPECIAL PROGRAMS EXECTION OF THE SECTION OF THE SE		out in PCT Article 33(+), because the claimed subject man	

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US02/07672

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 10

TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.